

JARED CONE.

DECEMBER 20, 1834.

Mr. HUBBARD, from the Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, made the following

**REPORT:**

*The Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Jared Cone, report:*

That this petition was presented at the first session of the last Congress; that a bill was reported in favor of the petitioner, which passed the House of Representatives at the last session, but which did not receive the final action of the Senate.

The petitioner asks for a pension on account of Revolutionary services, and on account of his present indigent circumstances.

There is no doubt of the poverty of the petitioner; and the evidence offered clearly shows that he rendered important services in the army of the Revolution previous to 1780.

The petitioner has made a statement under oath, by which it appears that he enlisted under Lieut. Olmstead; that he joined Col. Sherman's regiment, in the Connecticut line, on the continental establishment; that he was afterwards transferred to Capt. Betts' company, in Col. Scammel's regiment, and was at the battle of Yorktown, and continued to serve in said regiment until the surrender of Cornwallis; that he served under this enlistment more than ten months, and was honorably discharged. The character of the petitioner for truth is very satisfactorily shown to the committee.

The statement of the petitioner is corroborated by the testimony of William Porter and Oliver Ingham. Porter testifies that he well knew the petitioner as a soldier in 1780 or 1781, and that he knew him to remain in the army for a number of months, but the witness does not fix the precise time of the service of the petitioner. Ingham testifies that he well knew the petitioner was in the army, and that he has no doubt that he served at one period more than nine months. The committee fully believe that the petitioner was a faithful soldier of the Revolution; and considering all the testimony in the case, they are of the opinion that full credit should be given to the statement of the petitioner; and therefore report a bill for his relief.

JAMES CONE

December 20, 1838

REPORT

Mr. HUBBARD, from the Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, made the following

The Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of James Cone, reports:

That this petition was presented at the first session of the last Congress; that a bill was reported in favor of the petitioner, which passed the House of Representatives at the last session; but which did not receive the final action of the Senate.

The petitioner asks for a pension on account of Revolutionary services, and on account of his present indigent circumstances.

There is no doubt of the purity of the petitioner; and the evidence offered clearly shows that he rendered important services in the army of the Revolution previous to 1790.

The petitioner has made a statement under oath, by which it appears that he enlisted under Gen. Mifflin; that he joined Col. Sherman's regiment in the Continental line on the continental establishment; that he was afterwards transferred to Capt. Holt's company, in Gen. Schuylkill's regiment, and was at the battle of Yorktown; and continued to serve in said regiment until the surrender of Cornwallis; that he served under this enlistment more than ten months, and was honorably discharged. The character of the petitioner for truth is very satisfactorily shown to the committee.

The statement of the petitioner is corroborated by the testimony of William Potter and Oliver Ingraham. Potter testifies that he well knew the petitioner as a soldier in 1780 or 1781; and that he knew him to remain in the army for a number of months; but the witness does not fix the precise time of the service of the petitioner. Ingraham testifies that he well knew the petitioner was in the army, and that he has no doubt that he served at one period more than nine months. The committee fully believe that the petitioner was an initial soldier of the Revolution; and considering all the testimony in the case, they are of the opinion that full credit should be given to the statement of the petitioner; and therefore report a bill for his relief.